

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,462	01/26/2001	Thomas Thoroe Scherb	P20418	5458
759	90 11/13/2002			
Greenblum & Bernstein, P.L.C. 1946 Roland Clarker Place		EXAMINER		
Reston, VA 20191			CHIN, PETER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	15
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Wk-	<u>(5</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
A a visory Action	09/769,462	SCHERB ET AL.	
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Chin	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 31 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended in the shortened i	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee unde the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	n
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	see NOTE below);	
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the	те
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendmen	it
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		MOT place the	
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not dired	ere newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	rould be rejected is	I and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	: Hav	#15	
Claim(s) allowed:	• • • •	V. [0	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-54</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
B. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
0. Other:		Peter Chin Primary Examiner	1
		Art Unit: 1731	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/769,462

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues are: whether or not the "verified" translation of applicant's priority document supports the removal of Hays as a 102(a) or (b) reference. Whether or not the documents submitted to support assertion that the Hay invention was commonly owned at rthe time the present invention was made. New matter issue: the proposed drawing change.